1 2 3 4	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER L Sean A. McCormick (SBN 295711) sean.mccormick@arnoldporter.com 777 S. Figueroa Street, 44th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 243-4000 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199	LLP		
5	Attorney for Defendant MWW GROUP, LLC			
6	,			
7				
8	LINITED STATES D	ISTRICT COLIRT		
9				
10				
11				
12 13	liability company,		ALIFORNIA ON use No. 2:19-cv-01376 EFENDANT MWW GROUP, LC'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL etion Filed: January 15, 2019	
14	Plaintiff,	LLC'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL		
15	VS.			
16	MWW GROUP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; DOES 1-10,	ormick (SBN 295711) ck@arnoldporter.com ba Street, 44th Floor CA 90017 213) 243-4000 213) 243-4199 Defendant JP, LLC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION T, LLC, a California limited any, Plaintiff, DEFENDANT MWW LLC'S NOTICE OF I	January 15, 2019 January 25, 2019	
17	Defendants.	2 20 20 22 20 27 70 20 7		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, and 1446, defendant MWW Group, LLC ("MWW") hereby gives notice of removal of this action, captioned *HB Content, LLC v. MWW Group, LLC et al.*, bearing case number 19SMCV00083, from the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. This Court has jurisdiction over this action because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

BACKGROUND

- 1. On January 15, 2019, Plaintiff filed this action in the Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles.
- 2. As discussed below, there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants.
- 3. MWW received the Complaint through service on January 25, 2019. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served on MWW is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 84, 1391, and 1441(a) because the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, where the Complaint was filed, is a state court within the Central District of California.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because (1) there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants; (2) the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs; and (3) all other requirements for removal have been satisfied.

I. THERE IS COMPLETE DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP

- 6. There is complete diversity of citizenship here because Plaintiff is a California citizen and Defendant MWW is a citizen of states other than California.
- 7. For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, a limited liability company is a citizen of every state in which its members are citizens. *See Johnson v. Columbia*

3

8

6

11

12 13 14

16

17

15

18 19 20

21 22.

24

25

23

26 27

28

Properties Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006) ("[A]n LLC is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members are citizens.").

- 8. Plaintiff HB Content, LLC is a California limited liability company. According to records filed with the California Secretary of State, HB Content, LLC's members are Rachel Miller, Kevin Mann, and Chris Boyd, each of whom are California citizens. Accordingly, HB Content, LLC is a California citizen.
- Defendant MWW Group, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company. 9. MWW Group, LLC's members are citizens of Washington D.C., New Jersey, New York, Illinois, and Maryland. No member of MWW Group, LLC is a citizen of California.
- 10. The citizenship of the unnamed, unidentified Doe Defendants should be ignored for purposes of determining whether this action is removable based on diversity of citizenship. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)(1) ("In determining whether a civil action is removable on the basis of [diversity of citizenship], the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded.").

THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS \$75,000 II.

- "[A] defendant's notice of removal need include only a plausible 11. allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold." Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens, 135 S. Ct. 547, 554 (2014). "[W]hen a defendant seeks federal-court adjudication, the defendant's amount-in-controversy allegation should be accepted when not contested by the plaintiff or questioned by the court." Id. at 553. In determining whether the amount in controversy is satisfied, the Court may consider actual as well as punitive damages. See Anthony v. Sec. Pac. Fin. Servs., Inc., 75 F.3d 311, 315 (7th Cir. 1996).
- 12. Here, it is clear that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. The Complaint asserts that Plaintiff is owed \$250,000 as the outstanding balance on an alleged contract for services, and that Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

\$250,000. (See Compl. ¶ 13, 20, 22, 42, 48.) Additionally, Plaintiff seeks punitive damages. (See id. p.7.)

III. ALL OTHER REMOVAL REQUIREMENTS ARE SATISFIED

A. This Notice of Removal Is Timely

13. This Notice of Removal is timely filed. MWW received the Complaint through service on January 25, 2019. Because MWW filed the Notice of Removal on February 25, 2019, removal is timely. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 6(a)(1)(C).

B. No Other Defendants Have Been Served, So Consent from Other Defendants is Unnecessary

- 14. For purposes of removal based on diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), all defendants who have been properly joined and served must consent to removal.
- 15. MWW is the only Defendant that has been served. The remaining Defendants are unnamed, unidentified Doe Defendants. Accordingly, all properly joined and served defendants consent to removal.

C. Notice of Removal

16. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), MWW will give written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal to all parties of record in this matter, and will file a copy of this Notice with the clerk of the state court.

CONCLUSION WHEREFORE, MWW hereby removes this action from the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER Dated: February 25, 2019. LLP By: /s/ Sean A. McCormick Sean A. McCormick 777 S. Figueroa Street, 44th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 243-4000 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199 sean.mccormick@arnoldporter.com Attorney for Defendant MWW GROUP, LLC